	Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 1 of 18		
1	Alicia R. Ashcraft (NV Bar No. 6980) Jeffrey F. Barr (NV Bar No. 7269)		
2	Ashcraft & Barr LLP 8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 200		
3	Las Vegas, NV 89123 (702) 631-4755		
4	barrj@ashcraftbarr.com		
5	Thomas R. McCarthy* (VA Bar No. 47145) Conor D. Woodfin* (VA Bar No. 98937)		
6	Thomas S. Vaseliou* (TX Bar No. 24115891)		
7	1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22209		
8	(703) 243-9423 tom@consovoymccarthy.com		
9	conor@consovoymccarthy.com tvaseliou@consovoymccarthy.com		
10	Sigal Chattah (NV Bar No. 8264)		
11	5875 S. Rainbow Blvd #204 Las Vegas, NV 89118		
12	(702) 360-6200 sigal@thegoodlawyerlv.com		
13	David A. Warrington* (VA Bar No. 72293)		
14	Gary M. Lawkowski* (VA Bar No. 82329) 2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608		
15	Alexandria, VA 22314 703-574-1206		
16	DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com		
17	Michael A. Columbo* (CA Bar No. 271283)		
18	177 Post Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, California 94108		
19	MColumbo@dhillonlaw.com		
20	*Pro hac vice application forthcoming		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/2	4 Page 2 of 18	
1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRIC DISTRICT OF NEVA		
3	REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE;		
4	NEVADA REPUBLICAN PARTY; DONALD J.	No.	
5	TRUMP FOR PRESIDENT 2024, INC.; and DONALD J. SZYMANSKI,	110.	
6	Plaintiffs,		
7	V.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND	
8	CARI-ANN BURGESS, in her official capacity as the Washoe County Registrar of Voters; JAN	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
0 9	GALASSINI, in her official capacity as the Washoe County Clerk; LORENA PORTILLO, in her official		
-	capacity as the Clark County Registrar of Voters; LYNN		
10	MARIE GOYA, in her official capacity as the Clark County Clerk; FRANCISCO AGUILAR, in his offi-		
11	cial capacity as Nevada Secretary of State,		
12	Defendants.		
13	Plaintiffs the Republican National Committee, the Nevada Republican Party,		
14	Donald J. Trump for President 2024, Inc., and Donald J. Szymanski bring this action to		
15	challenge Section 293.269921 of the Nevada Revised Statutes. Plaintiffs allege as follows:		
16	INTRODUCTION		
17	1. Under the U.S. Constitution, states have broad discretion to decide how to		
18	conduct federal elections. But their election laws must comply with the higher law of		
19	the U.S. Constitution and with federal laws enacted under it.		
20	2. Exercising its constitutional power under the Elections Clause and the		
21	Electors Clause, Congress has established a uniform, national day to elect members of		
22	Congress and to appoint presidential electors. 2 U.S.C. §§1, 7; 3 U.S.C. §1. Congress		
23	enacted these laws "to provide a uniform time of ele	ecting Representatives," Senators,	
24	and presidential electors. Cong. Globe, 42d Cong., 2d Sess. 112 (1871).		
25			
ļ	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief		

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 3 of 18

Nevada contravenes those federal laws by counting mail ballots that are
 received up to four business days after Election Day, Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921(1)(b),
 and by presuming that ballots received up to three days after Election Day "have been
 postmarked on or before the day of the election," *id.* §293.269921(2). Nevada effectively
 extends Nevada's federal election past the Election Day established by Congress.

4. The result of Nevada's violation of federal law is that timely, valid ballots
7 are diluted by untimely, invalid ballots, which violates the rights of candidates, cam8 paigns, and voters under federal law.

9

5. For these reasons, Section 293.269921 is unlawful and must be enjoined.

10 6. In 2020, this Court dismissed a case for lack of standing that challenged an 11 earlier law governing mail ballot deadlines. See Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. v. Cegavske, 12 488 F. Supp. 3d 993 (D. Nev. 2020) (challenging Act of August 3, 2020, A.B. No. 4, 13 2020 Nev. Stat. 18, §20). That earlier law applied only to "elections impacted by emer-14 gencies or disasters." Id. In 2021, the Nevada Legislature codified post-election receipt 15 of mail ballots for all elections, irrespective of emergencies or disasters. See Act of June 16 2, 2021, A.B. No. 321, 2021 Nev. Laws Ch. 248, §56. The organizational injuries alleged 17 in that case were the need to divert resources to educate voters and combat voter fraud. 18 This case is different. Among other bases for standing, the challenged law injures Plain-19 tiffs here by causing competitive electoral harms and by requiring Plaintiffs to divert 20 resources to conduct election activities beyond election day.

21

24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2

7. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction because this case arises under the
Constitution and laws of the United States. 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343.

1	8. Venue is proper because at least one Defendant resides in this district and
2	all Defendants are residents of Nevada, and because a substantial part of the events
3	giving rise to the claims occurred in this district. Id. §1391(b).
4	PARTIES
5	9. Plaintiff, the Republican National Committee (RNC), is the national com-
6	mittee of the Republican Party, as defined by 52 U.S.C. §30101(14), with its principal
7	place of business at 310 First Street S.E., Washington, DC 20003.
8	10. The RNC organizes and operates the Republican National Convention,
9	which nominates a candidate for President and Vice President of the United States.
10	11. The RNC represents over 30 million registered Republicans in all 50 states,
11	the District of Columbia, and the U.S. territories. It is comprised of 168 voting members
12	representing state Republican Party organizations, including three members who are
13	registered voters in Nevada.
14	12. The RNC works to elect Republican candidates to state and federal office.
15	In November 2024, its candidates will appear on the ballot in Nevada for election to the
16	Presidency, U.S. Senate, and U.S. House of Representatives.
17	13. The RNC has vital interests in protecting the ability of Republican voters
18	to cast, and Republican candidates to receive, effective votes in Nevada elections and
19	elsewhere. The RNC brings this suit to vindicate its own rights in this regard, and in a
20	representational capacity to vindicate the rights of its members, affiliated voters, and
21	candidates.
22	14. The RNC also has an interest in opposing Nevada's constitutionally prob-
23	lematic mail ballot deadlines. Nevada's mail ballot deadline forces the RNC to divert
24	resources from in-person voting activities and election-integrity measures, and instead
25	3 Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

spend money on mail ballot chase programs and post-election activities. The mail ballot
 deadline also specifically and disproportionately harms Republican candidates.

3 15. Plaintiff Nevada Republican Party (NVGOP) is a political party in Nevada 4 with its principal place of business at 2810 West Charleston Blvd. #69, Las Vegas, NV 5 89102. The Nevada Republican Central Committee (NRCC) is the NVGOP's governing 6 body. The NVGOP and NRCC exercise their federal and state constitutional rights of 7 speech, assembly, petition, and association to "provide the statutory leadership of the 8 Nevada Republican Party as directed in the Nevada Revised statutes," to "recruit, de-9 velop, and elect representative government at the national, state, and local levels," and 10 to "promote sound, honest, and representative government at the national, state and 11 local levels." NRCC Bylaws, art. II, §§1.A-1.C.

12 16. The NVGOP represents over 550,000 registered Republican voters in Ne-13 vada.

14 17. The NVGOP has the same interests in this case as the RNC and seeks to15 vindicate those interests in the same ways.

16 18. Plaintiff Donald J. Trump for President 2024, Inc. (Trump Campaign) is
17 the principal committee for President Donald J. Trump's campaign with its headquarters
18 in West Palm Beach, FL.

19 19. The Trump Campaign has the same interests in this case as the RNC with
20 respect to the candidacy of President Trump and seeks to vindicate those interests in
21 the same ways.

20. Donald J. Szymanski is a registered Nevada voter and resident of Clark
County. He regularly votes in Nevada's primary and general elections, and he plans to
vote in the November 2024 general election, including for U.S. President, Senate, and

Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

25

1 2 3 House of Representatives. Mr. Szymanski is registered as a Republican, supports Republican candidates, and has volunteered on behalf of the Republican Party.

21. Defendant Cari-Ann Burgess is the Registrar of Voters for Washoe
County. She is the county's chief election officer and is responsible for "establish[ing]
procedures for the processing and counting of mail ballots" in Washoe County. Nev.
Rev. Stat. §293.269925(1); *see id.* §§293.269911-.269937, 244.164. Defendant Burgess is
sued in her official capacity.

8 22. Defendant Jan Galassini is the Washoe County Clerk. She is responsible
9 for certifying the election results in Washoe County. Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.393. Defend10 ant Galassini is sued in her official capacity.

Defendant Lorena Portillo is the Registrar of Voters for Clark County. She
is the county's chief election officer and is responsible for "establish[ing] procedures for
the processing and counting of mail ballots" in Clark County. Nev. Rev. Stat.
§293.269925(1); *see id.* §§293.269911-.269937, 244.164. Defendant Portillo is sued in her
official capacity.

16 24. Defendant Lynn Marie Goya is the Clark County Clerk. She is responsible
17 for certifying the election results in Clark County. Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.393. Defendant
18 Goya is sued in her official capacity.

25. Defendant Francisco Aguilar is the Secretary of State of Nevada. He serves
"as the Chief Officer of Elections" for Nevada and "is responsible for the execution
and enforcement of the provisions of title 24 of NRS and all other provisions of state
and federal law relating to elections in" Nevada. Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.124. He is sued in
his official capacity.

24

25

ALLEGATIONS

2

7

1

I. There is only one federal Election Day.

3 26. The U.S. Constitution's Elections Clause vests state legislatures with power 4 to set the time, place, and manner of congressional elections. U.S. Const. art. I, §4, cl. 1. 5 27. But the Elections Clause also reserves to "Congress" the power to "at any 6 time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of chusing Senators." Id.

A law governs "the election' of a Senator or Representative" when it 8 28. 9 "plainly refer[s] to the combined actions of voters and officials meant to make a final 10 selection of an officeholder." Foster v. Love, 522 U.S. 67, 71 (1997).

11 29. Exercising its constitutional power to pass laws governing elections for 12 federal offices, Congress has established one specific day as the uniform, national Elec-13 tion Day for members of the United States House of Representatives and of the United 14 States Senate. For both offices, the "Tuesday next after the 1st Monday in November" 15 is "the day for the election." 2 U.S.C. §7 (elections for members of the House of Rep-16 resentatives held on that day "in every even numbered year"); see also id. §1 (Senators to 17 be elected "[a]t the regular election held in any State next preceding the expiration of the 18 term for which any Senator was elected to represent such State in Congress, at which a 19 Representative to Congress is regularly by law to be chosen").

20 30. The U.S. Constitution also vests in "Congress" the power to "determine 21 the Time of chusing the Electors" for the offices of President and Vice President. U.S. 22 Const. art. II, §1, cl. 4.

23 24

25

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 8 of 18

1	31. Exercising that power, Congress has established that "[t]he electors of
2	President and Vice President shall be appointed, in each State, on election day, in ac-
3	cordance with the laws of the State enacted prior to election day." 3 U.S.C. §1.
4	32. Together, 2 U.S.C. §§1, 7, and 3 U.S.C. §1 establish the Tuesday after the
5	first Monday in November as the uniform, national Election Day for members of Con-
6	gress and as the uniform, national day for appointing electors for President and Vice
7	President.
8	33. Those "uniform rules for federal elections" are "binding on the States" and
9	superior to conflicting state law. Foster, 522 U.S. at 69. ""[T]he regulations made by Con-
10	gress are paramount to those made by the State legislature; and if they conflict therewith,
11	the latter, so far as the conflict extends, ceases to be operative." Id. (quoting Ex parte
12	Siebold, 100 U.S. 371, 384 (1879)). In other words, if a state law governing elections for
13	federal offices "conflicts with federal law," that state law is "void." Id. at 74.
14	II. Nevada's mail ballot deadline extends the election beyond the federal elec-
	II. Nevada's mail ballot deadline extends the election beyond the federal elec- tion day.
15	•
14 15 16 17	tion day.
15 16	tion day.34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any elec-
15 16 17	 tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any election, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the
15 16 17 18	 tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any election, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the day of the election," and "[r]eceived by the clerk not later than 5 p.m. on the fourth day
15 16 17 18 19	tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any elec- tion, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the day of the election," and "[r]eceived by the clerk not later than 5 p.m. on the fourth day following the election." Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921(1).
15 16 17 18 19 20	 tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any election, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the day of the election," and "[r]eceived by the clerk not later than 5 p.m. on the fourth day following the election." Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921(1). 35. Nevada law further provides that "[i]f a mail ballot is received by mail not
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any election, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the day of the election," and "[r]eceived by the clerk not later than 5 p.m. on the fourth day following the election." Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921(1). 35. Nevada law further provides that "[i]f a mail ballot is received by mail not later than 5 p.m. on the third day following the election and the date of the postmark
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 tion day. 34. Under Nevada law, "[I]n order for a mail ballot to be counted for any election, the ballot must be [m]ailed to the county clerk," "postmarked on or before the day of the election," and "[r]eceived by the clerk not later than 5 p.m. on the fourth day following the election." Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921(1). 35. Nevada law further provides that "[i]f a mail ballot is received by mail not later than 5 p.m. on the third day following the election and the date of the postmark cannot be determined, the mail ballot shall be deemed to have been postmarked on or

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 9 of 18

1			
1	36. Each county clerk must "appoint a mail ballot central counting board for		
2	the election," which processes mail ballots "under the direction of the clerk." Id.		
3	§293.269929.		
4	37. "The mail ballot central counting board may begin counting the received		
5	mail ballots 15 days before the day of the election." Id. §293.269931.		
6	38. Each mail ballot central counting board "shall process the mail ballots in		
7	the following manner." Id. §293.269933.		
8	a. First, "[t]he name of the voter, as shown on the return envelope, must be		
9	checked as if the voter were voting in person." Id.		
10	b. Next, an election board officer marks "in the roster 'Received' by the name		
11	of the voter." Id.		
12	c. "If the board determines the voter is entitled to cast a mail ballot and all		
13	other processing steps have been completed, the return envelope must be		
14	opened and the mail ballot counted." Id.		
15	d. Then "[a]n election board officer shall indicate 'Voted' by the name of the		
16	voter." Id.		
17	e. Last, empty mail-ballot envelopes and any envelopes containing rejected		
18	mail ballots must be returned to the clerk. Id.		
19	39. "The board must complete the count of all mail ballots on or before the		
20	seventh day following the election." Id. §293.269931.		
21	40. Nevada's law, regulations, and guidance require election officials to count		
22	ballots received after election day.		
23			
24			
25	8		
	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief		

1

III. Nevada's mail ballot deadline violates federal law.

41. The next federal election will be held on Tuesday, November 5, 2024. In
that election, Nevada will elect its next slate of presidential and vice-presidential electors,
as well as a new Congressional delegation.

42. Under Nevada's current law, mail ballots for the November 5 election
postmarked by election day will be counted if received on or before 5 p.m. on November
9, 2024.

8 43. Under Nevada's current law, mail ballots whose postmark date cannot be
9 determined will be counted if received on or before 5 p.m. on November 8, 2024.

44. Upon information and belief, election officials in Nevada have counted
and will continue to count mail ballots that lack a postmark and are received on or before
5 p.m. on November 8, 2024. For example, the United States Postal Service does not
postmark bulk rate mail, which means that a significant number of mail ballots will lack
a postmark. Nevada election officials will count such ballots even if they are *sent* after
Election Day.

45. "When the federal statutes speak of 'the election' of a Senator or Representative, they plainly refer to the combined actions of voters and officials meant to
make a final selection of an officeholder...." *Foster*, 522 U.S. at 71. "By establishing a
particular day as 'the day' on which these actions must take place, the statutes simply
regulate the time of the election, a matter on which the Constitution explicitly gives
Congress the final say." *Id.* at 71-72.

46. By holding voting open beyond the federal Election Day, Nevada violatesfederal law and harms plaintiffs.

24

25

47. The RNC, NVGOP, and Trump Campaign intend to conduct their cam paigns in accordance with federal law.

3 48. The RNC, NVGOP, and Trump Campaign rely on provisions of federal 4 and state law in conducting their campaigns, which include resources allocated to the 5 post-election counting and certification processes. For example, Nevada law guarantees 6 Plaintiffs the right to be represented on county mail ballot central counting boards. See Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269929(2) ("The voters appointed as election board officers for the 7 8 mail ballot central counting board must not all be of the same political party."). Nevada 9 law also guarantees the right to observe the handling and counting of mail ballots. See 10 Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269931(1); Nev. Admin. Code §§293.322(3), (4); 356(1). Counting 11 ballots received after Election Day thus requires Plaintiffs and their members to divert 12 more time and money to post-election mail ballot activities. See Nev. Rev. Stat. 13 §293.269931 (counting may continue up to "the seventh day following an election").

49. Nevada's law also requires the RNC, NVGOP, and Trump Campaign to
maintain mail-ballot-specific get-out-the-vote operations to encourage mail ballot voters
to return their mail ballots through Election Day. Funding and staffing these operations
diverts resources from in-person Election Day get-out-the-vote activities.

18 50. In addition, late-arriving ballots are not valid, so counting them dilutes the19 weight of timely, valid ballots.

20

21

25

51. Dilution of honest votes, to any degree, by the casting of fraudulent or illegitimate votes violates the right to vote.

52. The Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution protects the "the
right of all qualified citizens to vote, in state as well as in federal elections." *Reynolds v. Sims*, 77 U.S. 533, 554 (1964). "Obviously included within the right to [vote], secured by

10

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 12 of 18

the Constitution, is the right of qualified voters within a state to cast their ballots and
have them counted." United States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299, 315 (1941). "[T]he right to
have the vote counted" means counted "at full value without dilution or discount." Reynolds, 377 U.S. at 555 n.29 (quoting South v. Peters, 339 U.S. 276, 279 (1950) (Douglas, J.,
dissenting)).

53. Thus, both direct denials and practices that count invalid ballots dilute the
effectiveness of individual votes and violate the Fourteenth Amendment. *See id.* at 555
("[T]he right of suffrage can be denied by a debasement or dilution of the weight of a
citizen's vote just as effectively as by wholly prohibiting the free exercise of the franchise.").

54. "Every voter in a federal ... election, whether he votes for a candidate with
little chance of winning or for one with little chance of losing, has a right under the
Constitution to have his vote fairly counted, without its being distorted by fraudulently
cast votes." *Anderson v. United States*, 417 U.S. 211, 227 (1974); *see also Baker v. Carr*, 369
U.S. 186, 208 (1962).

16 55. Fraudulent votes "debase[]" and "dilute" the weight of each validly cast
17 vote. *Anderson*, 417 U.S. at 227. When it comes to "dilut[ing] the influence of honest
18 votes in an election," whether the dilution is "in greater or less degree is immaterial"—
19 it is a violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. *Id.* at 226.

56. Because voting by mail is starkly polarized by party, that dilution directly
and specifically harms Plaintiffs. For example, according to the MIT Election Lab, 46%
of Democratic voters in the 2022 General Election mailed in their ballots, compared to
only 27% of Republicans. Charles Stewart III, *How We Voted in 2022*, at 10,

25

https://perma.cc/444Z-58ZY. That means the late-arriving mail ballots that are
 counted disproportionately break for Democrats.

57. Voting by mail is even more polarized by party in Nevada specifically. For example, in Nevada's 2020 general election, 60.3% of Democratic voters voted by mail, compared to just 36.9% of Republican voters. *See* Nev. Sec'y of State, *2020 General Election Turnout*, https://perma.cc/Z6F3-SM4N. Likewise in its 2022 general election, 61.3% of Democrats and just 40% of Republicans voted by mail. *See* Nev. Sec'y of State, *2022 General Election Turnout*, https://perma.cc/N7G7-RUQ9.

9 58. Mail ballots from Democratic voters also tend to arrive late, in part because 10 "Democratic get-out-the-vote drives-which habitually occur shortly before election 11 day-may delay maximum Democratic voting across-the-board, and produce a 'blue 12 shift' in late mail ballots." Ed Kilgore, Why Do the Last Votes Counted Skew Democratic?, 13 Intelligencer (Aug. 10, 2020), https://perma.cc/R78D-3Q58. Indeed, "even if Republi-14 cans and Democrats voted in person and by mail at identical levels, Democrats tend to 15 vote later, which in turn (particularly in elections with heavy voting by mail) means early 16 Republican leads in close races could be fragile." Id.

17 59. According to data reported by the Nevada Secretary of State's office, in 18 the Nevada 2024 primary elections, Democrats disproportionately voted by mail com-19 pared to Republicans. Office of Nev. Sec'y of State, 2024 Presidential Preference Primary 20 Turnout: Cumulative Presidential Preference Primary Election Turnout – Final (Feb. 20, 2024), 21 perma.cc/7USY-5NMY. Democrats also had significantly more mail ballots rejected for 22 not being returned correctly. Office of Nev. Sec'y of State, 2024 Presidential Preference 23 Primary Turnout: Mail Ballot Information – Cumulative Totals (Feb. 20, 2024), 24 perma.cc/7NTN-JV6L.

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 14 of 18

1	60. Counting mail ballots received after Election Day doesn't just dilute the
2	valid ballots-it specifically and disproportionately harms Republican candidates and
3	voters.
4	61. These harms are irreparable.
5	<u>COUNT I</u>
6	Equitable Relief Under <i>Ex parte Young</i> Violation of 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §§1, 7
7	62. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations.
8	63. The Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution states that the "Laws of
9	the United States shall be the supreme Law of the Land." U.S. Const. Art. VI.
10	64. Federal law provides that "[t]he electors of President and Vice President
11	shall be appointed, in each State, on the Tuesday next after the first Monday in Novem-
12	ber, in every fourth year succeeding every election of a President and Vice President." 3
13	U.S.C. §1.
14	65. For congressional offices, the "Tuesday next after the 1st Monday in No-
15	vember" is "the day for the election." 2 U.S.C. §7 (elections for members of the House
16	of Representatives held on that day "in every even numbered year"); see also id. §1 (Sen-
17	ators to be elected "[a]t the regular election held in any State next preceding the expira-
18	tion of the term for which any Senator was elected to represent such State in Congress,
19	at which a Representative to Congress is regularly by law to be chosen").
20	66. Congress established one specific day as the uniform, national Election
21	Day for federal office. Federal law prohibits holding voting open after Election Day.
22	67. A qualified ballot for federal office is not a legal vote unless it is received
23	by the proper election officials by Election Day.
24	
25	13 Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 15 of 18

1	68. Section 293.269921 of the Nevada Revised Statutes permits mail ballots		
2	that have been postmarked by Election Day to be counted if they are received up to		
3	four days after Election Day.		
4	69. Section 293.269921 thus holds voting open after Election Day and allows		
5	ballots to be cast after Election Day but still counted as lawfully cast votes. Federal law		
6	preempts Section 293.269921 as a result.		
7	70. This Court has the inherent power to review and enjoin violations of fed-		
8	eral law by state officials. Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908). Congress has not evi-		
9	denced an intent to limit equitable relief for violating 3 U.S.C. §1 or 2 U.S.C. §§1, 7.		
10	71. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and will suffer serious and irrep-		
11	arable harm to their constitutional and federal rights unless Defendants are enjoined		
12	from implementing and enforcing Section 293.269921.		
13			
14	<u>COUNT II</u> Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983)		
14 15			
	Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983)		
15	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 		
15 16	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count bal- 		
15 16 17	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 		
15 16 17 18	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 		
15 16 17 18 19	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 74. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are de- 		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 74. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are depriving Plaintiffs of rights protected under the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the 		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 74. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are depriving Plaintiffs of rights protected under the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 by, among other things, forcing Plaintiffs 		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 74. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are depriving Plaintiffs of rights protected under the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 by, among other things, forcing Plaintiffs to spend money, devote time, and otherwise injuriously rely on unlawful provisions 		
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Violation of the Right to Stand for Office (42 U.S.C. §1983) 72. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations. 73. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count ballots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid. 3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1. 74. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are depriving Plaintiffs of rights protected under the First and Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983 by, among other things, forcing Plaintiffs to spend money, devote time, and otherwise injuriously rely on unlawful provisions 		

1	75. Defendants, acting under color of Nevada law, have deprived and are de-	
2	priving Plaintiffs of rights protected under the First Amendment and 14th Amendment	
3	to the U.S. Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.	
4	76. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and will suffer serious and irrep-	
5	arable harm to their constitutional rights unless Defendants are enjoined from imple-	
6	menting and enforcing Section 293.269921.	
7	COUNT III	
8	Violation of the Right to Vote (42 U.S.C. §1983)	
9	77. Plaintiffs incorporate all their prior allegations.	
10	78. Section 293.269921 requires counties to hold open voting and count bal-	
10	lots that have been cast after Election Day. Under federal law, those votes are not valid.	
11	3 U.S.C. §1, 2 U.S.C. §7, 2 U.S.C. §1.	
12	79. Dilution of honest votes, to any degree, by the casting of fraudulent or	
13	illegitimate votes violates the right to vote. Reynolds, 377 U.S. at 555; Anderson, 417 U.S.	
15	at 226-27; Baker, 369 U.S. at 208.	
16	80. Nevada's voting system permits illegitimate votes and therefore violates	
17	the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.	
18	81. Defendants have acted and will continue to act under color of state law to	
19	violate the Fourteenth Amendment.	
20	82. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and will suffer serious and irrep-	
21	arable harm to their constitutional and federal rights unless Defendants are enjoined	
22	from implementing and enforcing Section 293.269921.	
23	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
24	Plaintiffs ask this Court to enter judgment in their favor and provide the following	
	15	
25	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	

	Case 3	:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Filed 05/03/24 Page 17 of 18
1	relief:	
2	А.	A declaratory judgment that the relevant parts of Nev. Rev. Stat.
3		\$293.269921 violate the Fourteenth Amendment, 2 U.S.C. \$1, 7, and 3 U.S.C. \$1;
4	В.	Preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting Defendants from im-
5		plementing and enforcing the relevant parts of Nev. Rev. Stat. §293.269921, including prohibiting Defendants from counting mail ballots
6		for federal office for the November 2024 general election that are received by election officials after the day of the election; or, alternatively, prohibit-
7		ing Defendants from counting ballots for federal office for the November 2024 general election that are not postmarked by the day of the election;
8	C.	Plaintiffs' reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including attorneys'
9		fees; and
10	D.	All other further relief that Plaintiffs may be entitled to.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Complaint f	16 or Declaratory and Injunctive Relief

	Case 3:24-cv-00198 Document 1 Fil	ed 05/03/24 Page 18 of 18
1	Dated: May 3, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2	Thomas R. McCarthy*	<u>/s/ Alicia R. Ashcraft</u>
3	VA Bar No. 47145	Alicia R. Ashcraft (NV Bar No. 6980)
4	Conor D. Woodfin* VA Bar No. 98937	ASHCRAFT & BARR LLP 8275 South Eastern Ave., Suite 200
	Thomas S. Vaseliou*	Las Vegas, NV 89123
5	TX Bar No. 24115891 Consovoy McCarthy PLLC	(702) 631-4755 barrj@ashcraftbarr.com
6	1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 700	
7	Arlington, VA 22209 (703) 243-9423	Counsel for the RNC, Donald J. Trump for President 2024, Inc., and Donald J.
8	tom@consovoymccarthy.com	Szymanski
9	conor@consovoymccarthy.com tvaseliou@consovoymccarthy.com	<u> s Sigal Chattah</u>
-		
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs	Sigal Chattah (NV Bar No. 8264) Chattah Law Group
11		5875 S. Rainbow Blvd #204
12	David A. Warrington* VA Bar No. 72293	Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 360-6200
13	Gary M. Lawkowski*	sigal@thegoodlawyerlv.com
14	VA Bar No. 82329 Dhillon Law Group, Inc.	Counsel for the Nevada Republican Party
	2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608	Counsel for the Incound Republican I arry
15	Alexandria, VA 22314 703-574-1206	
16	DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com	
17	GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com	
18	Michael A. Columbo*	
19	CA Bar No. 271283	
	DHILLON LAW GROUP, INC. 177 Post Street, Suite 700	
20	San Francisco, California 94108	
21	MColumbo@dhillonlaw.com	
22	Counsel for Donald J. Trump for President	
23	2024, Inc.	
24	*pro hac vice application forthcoming	
		17
25	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief	