

Subject: RE: We the people are behind you. I am behind you.

From: "Kandaras, Mary" <mkandaras@da.washoecounty.gov>

Date: 7/17/2024, 9:02 AM

CC: "Edwards, Nathan" [REDACTED] Williams [REDACTED] Hickman, Elizabeth [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Williams,
You

I appreciate you bringing to us your concerns about signatures on the outside of the mail-in ballot. My preliminary legal review leads me to conclude that there is no privacy interest in a person's signature with respect to elections. You may be aware that Washoe County is required to follow the lead of the Secretary of State (SOS) in the conduct of elections. By state statute, the SOS is the lead election officer in the State. With respect to signatures, state law specifically provides that the rosters containing the signatures of voters who voted in an election are subject to the examination by any elector. The inference from this statutory provision is that your signature is public. NRS Chapter 239B does not identify signatures as personal information that requires protection from disclosure to governmental agencies.

I would also like to let your know that for the November 2024 election the County is planning to use the statewide mail ballot envelope style provided by the Secretary of State. The ROV's Office does not know, at this point, whether that design will have the signature under the flap or on the outside. On another note, the SOS approved the envelope the County used for the primary election [with signatures on the back of the envelope]. The reason the signature block was moved to the outside of the envelope related to significant delays caused by the continual malfunction of the laser that cuts the envelope to expose the signature under the flap. It simply couldn't consistently cut large quantities of envelopes reliably. If the SOS envelope style for the general includes the signature under the flap, that is what the Washoe County ROV will do.

I can't provide legal advice, but it might be beneficial to reach out to the Secretary of State on this issue. Thank you for your consideration.



Mary Kandaras
Chief Deputy District Attorney
District Attorney's Office
mkandaras@da.washoecounty.gov | O: 775.337.5723
One South Sierra Street, Reno, NV 89501

CONFIDENTIAL: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. This e-mail may contain confidential information, proprietary information, and/or information which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. The unauthorized review, use, forwarding, printing, copying, disclosure, or distribution of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have reason to believe you are not authorized to receive this e-mail, please promptly delete this message and notify the sender.

From: Oscar Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2024 9:32 AM
To: Kandaras, Mary <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Edwards, Nathan <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: We the people are behind you. I am behind you.

Good morning. Do you ever sleep? The time of your message is 5:44 am. Yikes. I'm still rubbing sand from my eyes at that time.

I realize a response is not mandatory, but I greatly appreciate it.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Oscar Williams

On 7/16/2024 5:44 AM, Kandaras, Mary wrote:

Dear Mr. Williams,

Although our office does not have any authority over the Secretary of State's handling of this complaint, when I meet with the Registrar, I will pass on your concerns about the signature being on the outside of the envelope. I hope to be able to provide you with a response, but it will most likely be next week. Thank you!



Mary Kandaras
Chief Deputy District Attorney
District Attorney's Office
mkandaras@da.washoecounty.gov | O: 775.337.5723
One South Sierra Street, Reno, NV 89501



CONFIDENTIAL: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. This e-mail may contain confidential information, proprietary information, and/or information which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. The unauthorized review, use, forwarding, printing, copying, disclosure, or distribution of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have reason to believe you are not authorized to receive this e-mail, please promptly delete this message and notify the sender.

From: Oscar Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 5:03 PM
To: Kandaras, Mary <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Edwards, Nathan <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: We the people are behind you. I am behind you.

Hello. I've attached documents per your request. The Election Violation text got cut off by the form so I will forward my original email that completes the final sentence. It's not a big deal but I'd like you to have my full message.

I only have the complaints that I have made, but I am aware that others may have made similar complaints.

Thank you for your interest.

-Oscar Williams, [REDACTED]

On 7/15/2024 3:23 PM, Kandaras, Mary wrote:

Dear Mr. Williams,

I am in receipt of your comments for the Board of County Commissioners' meeting scheduled tomorrow July 16, 2024. Please send me copies of the complaints and election violations filed against the Secretary of State and the Registrar of Voters. Thank you.



Mary Kandaras
Chief Deputy District Attorney
District Attorney's Office
mkandaras@da.washoecounty.gov | O: 775.337.5723
One South Sierra Street, Reno, NV 89501

CONFIDENTIAL: This e-mail, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed. This e-mail may contain confidential information, proprietary information, and/or information which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine. The unauthorized review, use, forwarding, printing, copying, disclosure, or distribution of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have reason to believe you are not authorized to receive this e-mail, please promptly delete this message and notify the sender.

From: Brown, Eric P. <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 2:37 PM
To: Edwards, Nathan <[REDACTED]>; Kandaras, Mary
<[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: We the people are behind you. I am behind you.

FYI



Eric P. Brown
County Manager
epricebrown@washoecounty.gov
775.451.4104
1001 E. Ninth St., Bldg. A
Reno, NV 89512

From: Oscar Williams <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 12:54 PM

To: Hill, Alexis <[REDACTED]>; Garcia, Mariluz C.

<[REDACTED]>; Clara Andriola <[REDACTED]>; Clark,

Michael <[REDACTED]>; Herman, Jeanne <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Galassini, Janis L <[REDACTED]>; Brown, Eric P.

<[REDACTED]>

Subject: We the people are behind you. I am behind you.

Dear Commissioner,

The law is on your side regarding your rights to question the result of an election race or races, the results of a particular precinct, ward or district, the accuracy of electronic voting systems, signature verification, adjudicating, tabulating, etc., and the accuracy of voter rolls and related roller-coaster fluctuations.

Your rights are based in part in the Nevada Constitution Article 4 Section 20:

Section 20. Certain local and special laws prohibited. The legislature shall not pass local or special laws in any of the following enumerated cases—that is to say:

Summoning and impaneling grand and petit juries, and providing for their compensation; [to investigate crime and corruption]

Regulating the election of county and township officers;

Providing for opening and conducting elections of state, county, or township officers, and designating the places of voting;

But more than that, it's the common understanding that Nevadans enjoy a bottom-up form of governance by and for the people, of which county rights are an extension of local authority.

The Secretary of State, Attorney General, and members of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office are proponents of top-down election management. Their actions are clear partisan and tyrannical, going far and beyond voter registration, which is the purview of the SOS—not the conduct of elections nor designating the places of voting per Nev. Const. Art 4 Sec 20.

The SOS has exhibited an abuse of authority through unbridled regulation. The most recent and obvious example involving the counting of votes before polls close, without any public process as is required. But even then, that is not a regulation and is a change in law, and it occurred during the actual primary. Stunning!

I am aware of at least one ethics complaint filed against the SOS regarding their abuse of authority.

I am aware of an election violation complaint or two against the SOS and the ROV over ballot return envelopes that expose a voter's signature in violation of rights to anti-ID theft and fraud.

How much are you aware of ethics complaints or election violation complaints filed against the SOS or the ROV?

If you don't know, that's a problem with a lack of disclosure by the SOS and/or ROV, and your legal counsel.

If you want a copy of the complaints referenced above, please let me know.

Your legal counsel has failed you. Possibly, they are in breach of contract for not protecting you as their client. May I suggest you seek special counsel?

And stand strong against this onslaught of top-down tyranny. We the people are behind you. I am behind you. Good luck!

Yours truly,

Oscar Williams

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

C: [REDACTED]